PATENT COOPERATION TRE

| RE | CEIV | ΈD |
|-----|------|------|
| 2:9 | SEP | 2004 |

| From the | |
|--------------|---------------------|
| NTERNATIONAL | SEARCHING AUTHORITY |

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

| To: | ··· | | |
|-----|-----|--|--|
| • | | | |
| | | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

| | | (PCT Rule 43bis.1) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) | | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 | | FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below | | | |
| International application No. PCT/US2004/010829 | International filing date (c 08.04.2004 | day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 08.04.2003 | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) C07D495/04 | or both national classification | and IPC | | | |
| Applicant FLEXITRAL, INC. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1. | This opinion | contains | indications | relating to | the | following | items: |
|----|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------|
|----|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------|

| ☑ Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
|----------------|--|
| ☑ Box No. II | Priority |
| ☑ Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| ☐ Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| ☑ Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| ☐ Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| ☐ Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| ☐ Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |
| | |

FURTHER ACTION 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Fritz, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2792



International application No. PCT/US2004/010829

| | Box N | o. I Basis of the opinion |
|----|--------------|---|
| 1. | With re | egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| | la | nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | With reneces | egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| | a. type | of material: |
| | | a sequence listing |
| | | table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| | b. forn | nat of material: |
| | | in written format |
| | | in computer readable form |
| | c. time | of filing/furnishing: |
| | | contained in the international application as filed. |
| | | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| | | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 3. | h: Ci | addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Additio | onal comments: |

International application No. PCT/US2004/010829

| | Box | No. II | Priority |
|----|-----|------------|--|
| 1. | Ø | The fol | lowing document has not been furnished: |
| | | ⋈ | copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). |
| | | | translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). |
| | | Consec | quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has leless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. |
| 2. | | has be | vinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. |
| 3. | Ado | litional o | bservations, if necessary: |

International application No. PCT/US2004/010829

| | Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | |
|-------------|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| The | The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | | |
| | the entire international applicat | ion, | | | |
| Ø | claims Nos. 1-20 | | | | |
| bed | ause: | | | | |
| | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | |
| | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | |
| | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | | |
| \boxtimes | no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-20 | | | | |
| | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | | | |
| | the written form | | has not been furnished | | |
| | | | does not comply with the standard | | |
| | the computer readable form | | has not been furnished | | |
| | | | does not comply with the standard | | |
| | the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re | tide a equire | and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | |
| | See separate sheet for further | detai | ils | | |

International application No. PCT/US2004/010829

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 27-28

No: Claims 21-26

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 27-28

No: Claims 21-26

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 21-28

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III

ø

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

It is noted that an International Preliminary Examination is not carried out on of the subject-matter of claims 1-20 which were not searched (cf. Rule 66.1 e) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1: Mustafa et al., Tetrahedron 21 (1965), 849-859

D2: Buggle et al., Monatshefte für Chemie 119 (1988), 945-951

The present application relates to unspecificed aromachemicals, compositions, deodorants, detergents, bleach compositions and beverages comprising them (claims 1-18), a method to improve, enhance or modify the odor of a perfuming composition involving a derivative as of claims 1-4 (claims 19-20) as well as perfuming compositions, perfumed articles or body deodorants comprising benzo[4,5]thieno[3,2-b]pyran-2one (claims 21-28).

As claims 1-20 were not searched (Rule 66.1 e) PCT); cf. also International Search Report, sheet C) the novelty thereof and the eventual presence of an inventive step therein will not be discussed.

The compounds IV disclosed on p. 851 of D1 are derivatives of benzo[4,5]thieno[3,2-b]pyran-2one,

The compound benzo[4,5]thieno[3,2-b]pyran-2one is identical with compound 1c disclosed in D2.

Neither D1 nor D2 relate to the possible use of benzo[4,5]thieno[3,2-b]pyran-2one as a perfume.

As the term "composition" also includes the compound itself and the prefix "perfuming" cannot be understood as a technical feature, the subject-matter of claims 21-26 is an-

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/010829

ticipated by D2 (Art. 33(2) PCT)..

In contrast thereto a body deodorant or antiperspirant comprising benzo[4,5]thieno[3,2-b]pyran-2one has not been described in the art.

The subject-matter of claims 27-28 is therefore novel (Art. 33(2) PCT) and also inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT, since the use of benzo[4,5]thieno[3,2-b]pyran-2-one as an aromachemical is nowhere suggested.